



NORTH PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE

northparkplanning.org

Public Facilities and Transportation Subcommittee

Approved Minutes: Wednesday, July 12, 2017 – 6:00 p.m.

North Park Recreation Center / Adult Center, 2719 Howard Avenue

I. Parliamentary Items (6:00 p.m.)

- a) Call to Order: Bonn, Callen (Co-Chair)(left 6:45) , Hill, Ferrier (left 6:50), Vidales (Co-Chair), Carlson (6:08), Campbell, Gebreselassie (6:10)
- b) Agenda: No Modifications, Callen / Vidales 6-0-0
- c) Approval of Minutes, June 14: Bonn / Ferrier 6-0-0

II. Non Agenda Public Comment:

- a) The city's Parking Advisory Board passed a plan for angle parking unanimously.

III. Action Items

A. Montclair Park Pedestrian Bridge Project

Request to be added to the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Prioritization List. The project was presented as an information item at the May 11, 2016 PF/T Meeting. Robert Barry, North Park resident and former NPPC member.

Presentation : Robert Barry – Intro

Concept: connectivity to McKinley School & Montclair Park: ped and bike bridge

Various improvements (handout)

Use ROW Quince St. – reduce Boundary traffic

Implement NPCPU mobility plan goals and policies

Feedback: lack of notice, canyon impacts, noise, homeless etc.

Explanation: Boundary traffic heavy

Move fwd as related to comm. Plan – questions moved forward to city.

Vidales: surveyed audience pro & con .

In Support:

- Mauricio Ahumada – original sponsor
- Jennifer – mckinley side homeowner, currently drive to park, too far to walk back
- Juanita Freatu – support to get people activity etc.

- Opposed:
- Jason ... - where's the data to show usage?
- Laurie – Quince St. – liked idea until did research – problems with Spruce St. bridge
- N... - will be impacted by foot traffic – how many ppl in support of bridge w/in
- Victor – on Boundary – who responsible for maintenance?
- James Feathers – Vancouver – mixed: needs to be secured at night – height of bridge & suicides
- Surrea? – near eastside entrance on Montclair – Spruce St. bridge problems –
- Brian Gaines – shares concerns – is bridge even needed? Nature path an option?
- Alan Graves – Montclair – same questions & concerns
- Russell – Quince – homeless, drug use, trash, signage obstructing canyon,
- Sss? width –
- Marion – Quince – never needed bridge – parking
- Cindy – Vancouver – neighborhood watch captain – problems now
- Marki – Redwood – many homeowners not aware
- Mark - ... want more walking – will divert maint money – assessments?

Robert – answer questions – all issues exist without bridge – want something to benefit students – full EIR will happen and cover all issues – City will maintain like other infra – get sandag to pay construction – City will rely on sdpd for safety recommendation. Noticing will happen with City review. Get questions vetted through City, not Robert, etc. Bridge will improve safety / traffic dangerous now.

Board comments

DG – City will review issues –

EB – involved with VT st bridge – have had transients on bridge – overall positive response.

RS – not enough comments on realities – needs fair hearing

DC – NPCPU encourages connectivity – full ceqa process – nature path?

DCampbell – ppl need more time to talk – don't see need – proponents need to study more – not for CIP now.

RV – Only gets put on City list – big issue: 3 property corners opposed

PH no comment

KF – consistent with NPCPU, brings benefits, concerns can be worked out, lives on canyon self.

Robert answers

Nature trail more impact – not allow wheelchairs, strollers, can't bike

Plan B ? 1 asking reccom support. 2 asking to move to city

Campbell – why motion?

Discussion:

Interruption – disruption – shouting .

Back to Carlson: above motion.

Carlson: reminder about public process, Robert's Rules, like any City board, Brown Act

Ferrier: sugg table topic to put with CIP

Carlson: motion : proposed project is consistent with mobility goals of NPCP and SDGP. Further discussion needed for the city to study potential impacts brought up by the community.

Carlson / Ferrier 8-0-1 (Campbell)

Discussion of amendment.

Vidales – will go to full board Tues 7/18.

Audience question: when notice about this? Vidales – this is before city noticing project

Carlson – explained communication

Callen – don't need official city forum to get together as neighbors – don't need official forum.

B. Capital Improvement Program (CIP) – Prioritization of Projects

Community

Vidales – overview of CIP list handed out. Process – took high priorities from CP – list on page 2 has overlap with CP from some old projects (?). Some new projects received, not listed

Need to decide: move fwd with previous projects.

Start with first 18.

Ferrier question: goal? Better descriptions, summarize.

Carlson: prioritize? No – won't matter to city.

Ferrier: city asked for ranking

Campbell: kind of

Vidales: will aim for top 20

Discussion: CIP / city process / uncertainty.

Discussion: traffic choker on Madison – what happened (Bonn)

Carlson: keep separate from CIP; agendize for future subcomm

Projects: (Vidales expln first)

1) Howard Blackson project – WBS – I explained MAD part of it

Carlson – important to include for possible funding

Campbell – city said any

(off topic: funding of projects / priorities)

2) Cycle track on 30th – supported by 3 BID/s – Carlson: Adams Ave BID concerned about delivery trucks. Carlson / Barry: need to address nature of bike lane, impact on parking.

Discussion – how to add / revise to improve, show bike and ped safety improvements.

EDIT: Multimodal enhancements to 30th St. corridor to improve bike and pedestrian safety, including protected bike lane and pedestrian improvements, that connects the three major commercial corridors of North Park.

3) EDIT. : add to end: “To connect community gathering places, ie. Bird Park and transit node at 30th and Upas.”

4) OK AS IS

5) Disc: mobility plan prioritizes missing sidewalks, not damaged sidewalks (item requests repairs) . Barry: prioritize installation. Carlson: move to strike. REMOVE

6) Carlson: completed – road diet done – REMOVE

- 7) EDIT: put description of CP #8 (pg 2) in. Discussion. Add 7a Adult Center & 7b Rec Center. Lynn Elliott asked for Rec Center window replacement. Add 7c Window replacement at Rec Center.
 - 8) EDIT: “improve facilities for families to recreate safely.”
 - 9) EDIT: 9a: “including bike safety improvements”. 9b: also Thorn & Boundary. 9c. also 30th & Dwight
 - 10) EDIT: “including bike safety improvements’
 - 11) Discussion. Lynn Elliott will give better description to Rene.
 - 12) EDIT Discussion. Carlson sugg scratch 13 & 14 since incl with 12.
 - 15) EDIT Split Park and Streetscape into two items. Discussion. Barry: also storm drain split. A. Park B. Streetscape Rene will write description.
 - 16) EDIT Disc re Uptown support / activity. Want to talk about what will happen to old library / land. Carlson & Rene will work on description.
 - 17) EDIT: too long. Carlson & Rene & Robert will do ad hoc revision.
 - 18) EDIT: Barry: needs to say roundabout. Use community plan language. Disc poss edits. Rene has notes.
 - 19) EDIT: add “install bike safe bulbouts”
 - 23) REMOVE. outside of planning area.
 - 30)EDIT: add specific locations
 - 42) REMOVE
 - 9) EDIT: add support
 - 11) EDIT: add support.
- Remainder: already discussed above.

Closing discussion – next steps –

Ad hoc comm.: Dionne, Robert Barry, Rene

V. Unfinished, New Business & Future Agenda Items

VI. Adjournment (8:00 pm)