



NORTHA PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE

northparkplanning.org

Public Facilities and Transportation Subcommittee

DRAFT MINUTES: Wednesday, March 14, 2018 – 6:00 p.m.

North Park Recreation Center / Adult Center, 2719 Howard Avenue

I. Parliamentary Items (6:00 pm)

A. Call to Order*

NPPC board members: Hill, Velasquez, Carlson

Community voting members: Bonn, Steppke

B. Modifications & Adoption of the Agenda (Bonn/Carlson 5-0-0)

C. Approval of Previous Minutes: November 8, 2017

Motion: to approve with minor spelling and grammar corrections per Bonn. (Hill/Bonn 5-0-0)

D. Announcements

Carlson: NPPC candidate's forum. 6PM Mar 15 2018 at NP Rec Center

Hill: 30th Street streetlights between Lincoln and Howard are working again

II. Non Agenda Public Comment (2 minutes each).

Matt Thompson: where to report streetlights on during day. Tyler Renner D3 rep will follow up.

Ted Coakley: City of SD proposing redlining curbs for \$316; overkill to do this; could just put on less paint. Tanner French (City SD): can help, please contact

III. Information Items

A. Conversion from parallel parking to angled (head-in) parking

Proposed parking conversions for multiple locations (list attached separately) with expected net parking gains. Approved by Community Parking District. Notices to be provided to affected residents and business owners. *Tanner French, Senior Traffic Engineer, City of San Diego*

Discussion:

Tanner French, Sr. Traffic Engineer, Econ Dev, City of San Diego

Dionne: brief background on angled parking conversion at NPPC. Past process: get petitions in favor. Now City uses "opt-out" program. Tonight City is taking input on this process.

Tanner French: Mid-city parking district identified locations

Options:

- Petition as before
- Mail notice to residents on each affected block (both sides) and assess response. 60 days response deadline for residents. Program in effect since July 2017.

Board:

Notices will go out for all proposed locations simultaneously.

Carlson: Wants broader questions on City form – not just yes / no. Suggest form allows suggestions to refine project. Gave examples of past improvements.

Tanner: open to recommendations; now using comments to assess project design. Already tailoring by neighborhood.

Bonn: concern: revisions sometimes needed after putting in parking; need opportunity to correct errors.

Steppe: concern: space next to driveway not marked, people use anyway.

Discussion: red curb requirements, how designed; City source of standards

Bonn: bike lanes affecting ability to install parking. Possible to skip bike lane for parking impacted areas?

Public:

Intro – Velasquez: this in info only since item already went to parking district. Petition still required from

Matt Thompson: This is changing safety and nature of neighborhoods. What is NPPC involvement? Carlson: early work was done by NPPC in the past. MT: What assessment is done for parking needs. Per input from local parking manager – room exists. What justifies more parking? MT: Problems with angle parking: gave examples. Will impact quality of life of his family. Adamantly against changes to parking where curbs not updated. MT addressing Tanner: center line offset standards? Tanner: no standards; cases judged individually. MT: sightline issues with pedestrian / drive? Tanner: standards address this. MT: process for input if public feels standards inadequate? Tanner: normal channels.

(Member Public): timetable? Tanner: 60 days after mailer. Before mailing: working with Parking District. Explained process. (MP): phases? Tanner: no; all to be done fairly quickly. Carlson: clarified: particular streets have been selected, not every street. List of streets included on meeting materials.

Velasquez: how long to execute with no opposition? Tanner: within two weeks of work order. Velasquez: noticing residents before work to begin? Tanner: no, just no parking signs for painting. Carlson: repeated.

Nick (office): letter has diagrams? Tanner; yes.

Ted C.: suggested sending a second mailing

Woman: lives on Granada / Myrtle: will get mailer? No, just Myrtle. Not the cross street.

Member of Public: wanted to clarify changing angle vs head in. How to take into account additional parking needs by non-resident users (church)? Carlson: church is noticed. Raises question of how to work with institutions.

Ted: possible for residents to specify spots yes/no? Tanner: no; overcomplicates process; safety concerns. Carlson: gave example / explanation. Reduces parking gains.

Member Public: what is basis / public input in this ?. Tanner: each project was identified by residents or bike lanes removing parking. Carlson: explained mitigations. Tanner: looser interpretation. Carlson: density coming to NP. Less parking in projects. More street parking impact.

MT: can get details of project input? Tanner: will email to chair.

MT: how to learn when notices go out if not on block? Tanner: Won't.

MT: how to report dangerous parking spaces where curbs not adequate? Tanner: City council member.

Member Public: How does petition work for apt. complex? Tanner: Owner plus each resident

Bonn: agrees safety concern re curb. By fixing curb issue, can also do head-in and wider sidewalks.

Carlson: any discussions about curbs? Tanner: No money to fix curbs.

Bonn: can address encroachment on sidewalk? Tanner: Yes – if not sufficient, won't.

Carlson: summing up: if insufficient “no” from residents, angled spaces added. If enough no's, then old process including NPPC review happens. Requested: white line at end of angled space; painted center line when possible; opportunities for reducing required curb. Tanner: available to work with NPPC. Carlson: please change official form to allow more input.

Bonn: in UH, did angled parking in center, head-in at ends.

Ted; wait until funds to do in safe manner (curbs) Carlson: not safety issue, is drainage.

Velasquez: will have as action item next month.

V. Unfinished, New Business & Future Agenda Items:

B. Future Agenda Items

1. ofo Bike-sharing Program – next month
2. SDG&E Utility Undergrounding

VI. Adjournment (7:00 pm)

Next PF&T Subcommittee meeting date: Wednesday, April 11, 2018

For information about the Urban Design-Project Review Subcommittee please visit northparkplanning.org or contact the Chair, Eduardo Velasquez, at publicfacilities@northparkplanning.org or (619) 535-8875.

** Subcommittee Membership & Quorum: When all 15 elected NPPC Board Member seats are filled, the maximum total of seated (voting) PF&T Subcommittee members is 13 (up to 7 elected NPPC Board Members and up to 6 seated North Park community members). To constitute a quorum, a majority of the seated PF&T Subcommittee members must be elected NPPC Board Members.*

Community Voting Members: North Park residents and business owners may gain PF&T Subcommittee voting rights by becoming a General Member of the NPPC and by attending three PF&T Subcommittee meetings. Please sign-in on the meeting attendance list and notify the Chair or Vice-Chair if you are attending to gain Subcommittee voting rights.

North Park Planning Committee meetings are held on the second floor of the North Park Christian Fellowship (2901 North Park Way, 2nd Floor), on the third Tuesday of each month, at 6:30 pm. The next scheduled NPPC meeting is on Mar. 20, 2018.

NPPC Agendas are posted in the North Park Main Street window at 3939 Iowa St #2.

For additional information about the North Park Planning Committee, please like our Facebook page and follow our Twitter feed:



[NorthParkPlanning](#)



[@NPPlanning](#)

NOTICE OF PARKING CHANGE

DATE

RESIDENT

ADDRESS

San Diego, CA 92XXX

The City of San Diego is proposing to convert the existing parallel parking spaces to angled or head-in parking spaces on the north/south/east/west side of "name of segment" between "1st cross street" and "2nd cross street". The attached draft design shows the proposed parking change. This proposed parking change will add xx additional parking spaces.

Please review the attached draft design. If you object to the installation of the proposed parking on "name of segment", please check the box below, print your name, sign, and return the form within 60 days to the Economic Development Department at the address listed below.

I object to the installation of the proposed parking on "name of segment" between "1st cross street" to "2nd cross street".

Name (Print): _____

Signature: _____

Address: _____

Mail to:

Tanner French: Senior Traffic Engineer
City of San Diego, Economic Development Department
1200 Third Ave., Suite 1400, San Diego, CA 92101

If you would like to provide comment, other than to voice objection to the proposed parking change, please feel free to do so below and send it to the address above, or contact Tanner French at:

Phone: 619-533-4741

Email: tfrench@sandiego.gov

Street Segment	Street Width	Existing # of Parallel Parking Spaces	Proposed # of Parking Spaces	Net Gain in Parking Spaces	# of New ADA Accessible Parking Spaces
Myrtle – Granada to 29 th	52	8	13	5	0
Myrtle – 29 th to 30 th	52	8	14	5	1
Myrtle – 30 th to Ray	52	8	12	7	1
Dwight – 29 th to 30 th	52	7	13	7	1
Dwight – 30 th to Ray	52	13	16	5	2
Gunn – 29 th to 30 th	52	8	13	6	1
Gunn – 30 th to Ray	52	10	16	7	1
Capps – 29 th to 30 th	52	8	13	6	1
Capps – 30 th to Ray	52	11	13	3	1
29 th – Upas to Myrtle	52	10	18	8	0
29 th – Myrtle and Capps	52	12	18	6	0
29 th – Capps to Dwight	52	11	19	8	0
29 th – Dwight to Landis	52	11	17	6	0
29 th – Landis to Gunn	52	11	18	7	0
29 th – Gunn to North Park	52	8	16	8	0
Polk – Kansas to 30 th	52	11	19	9	1
Polk – 30 th to Ohio	52	9	15	7	1
Iowa – El Cajon to Howard	52	13	21	8	0
Iowa – Howard to Polk	52	17	26	9	0
Ohio – El Cajon Blvd to Howard	52	11	21	10	0
Ohio – Howard to Polk	52	21	38	19	2
Ohio – Polk to Lincoln	52	18	39	22	1
Illinois – El Cajon Blvd to Howard	52	12	24	12	0
Illinois – Howard to Polk	52	18	26	8	0
Arizona – Howard to Polk	52	20	37	19	2
Arizona – Polk to Lincoln	52	20	30	12	2
Kansas - Adams to Madison	52	15	23	9	1
Kansas - Madison to Monroe	52	18	32	16	2

29th Street

(Between Myrtle Ave. & Upas St.)

